## S.333 Summary – As passed Senate; H.Gen proposal of amendment

S.333 proposes to create moratoria on most eviction and foreclosure proceedings during the COVID-19 emergency period.

## <u>Sec. 1</u>:

## **Subsection** (a) – **Definitions.**

 (1) "Emergency period" is the period beginning with the declaration of emergency on March 13 and ending when the Governor declares the emergency ended, plus 30 days.
(2) "Ejectment" refers to the eviction of a residential tenant; H.Gen adds resident of a mobile home park.

(3) "Foreclosure" refers to any type of foreclosure action under 12 VSA chapter 172; H. Gen creates an exception for unoccupied properties and provides guidance on what it means for a property to be unoccupied.

**Subsection (b)** – **Duties.** This subsection articulates what this bill does and does not do: (1) a tenant still must pay rent

- (2) a tenant must continue to pay rent into court under an existing order
- (3) a borrower must make mortgage payments

(4) the courts can still act in an emergency situation pursuant to Administrative Order 49

**Subsection (c) – Pending foreclosure and ejectment actions.** This subsection applies to actions that are already pending:

(1) pending actions for ejectment and foreclosure, and any outstanding orders that could lead to a tenant or resident being dispossessed, are stayed until the end of the emergency period

(2) courts must issue necessary orders to effect the stay

Subsection (d) – New foreclosure and ejectment actions. This subsection applies to actions that are not yet filed; H.Gen adds language to clarify that this subsection applies to new actions filed after the effective date of the act. New actions can be filed, but:

(1) only by filing with the court, not by service on a defendant

(2) immediately stayed

(3) no service

(4) once emergency period ends, 60-day service period under VRCP 3 begins tolling

Subsection (e) – Writs of possession not yet issued. This subsection applies to writs of possession not already issued by a court, and says that a court cannot issue a new writ of possession during the emergency period.

Subsection (f) – Writs of possession already issued. This subsection applies to writs of possession that were already issued prior to the effective date of the act, and says : (1) the writ is stayed as of March 13 and resumes running when the Governor terminates the state of emergency by declaration (not at the end of the +30 days); and

- (2) on that date of termination
  - (A) plaintiff shall serve or serve again the writ to the defendant
  - (B) plaintiff shall be restored to possession within 14 days of service

**Subsection (g) – Rent escrow hearings.** This subsection applies to the resumption of rent escrow hearings, which occur when a plaintiff files a motion to order the tenant to pay rent into court; this subsection applies to escrow hearings that occur within the first 45 days after the emergency period ends (not during emergency period)

(1) if the hearing occurs within that 45 days, the court shall order the defendant to pay:

- (A) rent as it continues to accrue during the pendency of the action; and
- (B) rent that has already accrued
  - (i) since the date the motion was served, if served after effective date of act; or
  - (ii) since the end of the emergency period, if served before effective date

→ The purpose here is to reduce the amount of rent due into court; if not for (A) and (B), the tenant would have to pay rent into court from the date the ejectment action began, rather than at/after the emergency ends; so, if a rent escrow action is pending right now, and this act/court closure has stayed that action, under current law: there would be a hearing and if the court found that the tenant owes back rent, it must require the tenant to pay rent into court since the action began ~ e.g., from March until [the emergency ends in October] = 8-months' back rent, which most people can't pay. By contrast, under this subsection (g), back-rent would only accrue from the end of the emergency period

(2) the court has the flexibility to reduce the amount of rent into court based on (A) tenant's ability to pay; and (B) whether tenant sought emergency assistance

→ Currently the court does not have this discretion

Subsection (h) – Resumption of actions for breach of rental agreement. This subsection applies to ejectment actions for breach of a material term of a rental agreement or for unlawful or dangerous activity, in which case the ejectment action can proceed when the Governor terminates the state of emergency (not the +30 days)

**Sec. 2** – takes effect on passage